-		1 /	/:4h
-	10	·۷۱	/ith

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_314485-22	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submission from Vincent O'Donghue I recommend that se be/rot be invoked at this stage for the following results.	ection 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
E.O.: Pat B	Date: 04/04/2024
For further consideration by SEO/SAO	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for re	oly.
S.E.O.:	Date:
S.A.O:	Date:
M	
Please prepare BP Section 131 nesses submission	tice enclosing a copy of the attached
to: Task No:	
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
EO:	Date:
AA:	Date:

1. 6

	S. 37 File With
CORRESPOND	
Appeal No: ABP 314485 - 22	
M	
Please treat correspondence received on	03/2 <i>02</i> 9 as follows:
Update database with new agent for Applicant	Appellant
2. Acknowledge with BP 23	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP 2. Keep Envelope: 3. Keep Copy of Board's letter
Amendments/Comments Vincet 0'D anoghe	response to 5.131
121,63124:62 lev 124	
4. Attach to file (a) R/S	RETURN TO EO
	Plans Date Stamped Date Stamped Filled in
EO: Rite	Date: 25/04/2024
Date: Oyloyllory	Date: 25/04/2024

Alfie Staunton

From: **Bord**

Sent: Thursday 28 March 2024 11:50

To: Appeals2

Subject: FW: Observation in relation to DAA Planning Submission

From: Vincent O'Donoghue <odonoghue.vincent@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2024 11:46 AM

To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>

Subject: Fwd: Observation in relation to DAA Planning Submission

Caution: This is an External Email and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Dear Sir /Madam,

See below letter in response to the planning submission by Tom Philips and Associates on behalf of DAA plc.

Regards

Vincent O Donoghue







An Bord Pleanála

64 Marlborough St.

Dublin 1

D01 V902

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to make the following observations/submissions:

- 1. We are shocked to see that the noise contours have extended hugely into our community and that a very significant number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours. Firstly, we note that there was no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many of our neighbours who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours but yet were never publicly notified until they attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices informed the public. Secondly, the people who now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they do not qualify because they did not make a submission previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bord Pleanála did not give a public notice of this significant additional information. The above is totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.
- 2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date. For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.

An Bord Pleanála

64 Marlborough St.

Dublin 1

D01 V902

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application De

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to observations/submissions:

- 1. We are shocked to see that the noise contours have extende and that a very significant number of dwellings are now inclicant contours. Firstly, we note that there was no notice of this far for this application to date. Many of our neighbours who the this application are now inside these contours but yet were attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward r this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices infor people who now know they are within the contours have no make a submission/observation as they do not qualify becausubmission previously as they thought they were unaffected public notice of this significant additional information. The a unjust to the communities affected.
- 2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Assoc Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the inthem considering this new area which contains dwellings to We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the content of the conten

Tom Philips refers continuouslyto: the regulatory decisionity ANCA in his correspondence.
Howevershalt innot contained in hisporrespondence but is: withinthe EIAR relating tothese
noise contouris: that the proposaldoes NOT meet, thehoise Abatement: Objective of ANCA
in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario wit: fail thehato when compared to 2019: when
the totable the exiting population, permitted, developments and zoned developmentaire
summed together. "2025 exceeds2019 by: 4,541 paper (1533):6074).

Fre Carm

- 4. Why havethe noise contour grown. St Margarets The Ward, residents carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and foundthe noise levels to be far beyond those PREDICTED by DAA. Their noise predictions are not, accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why can they not, submit actual noise results alonghe, flightpath which, harbeen in operation since fugurat 202, 2. The community could.
- 5. Reference ismade to the noise zones on Fingal development/tan. These noise zones must now be revised idue to theproposed flight pathover our area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A laid. It considered harmful to health or otherwiseprosidered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the fight path nowbeing operated by DAA is putting many existing residences. Noise Zone A and Bishigh is just not acceptable from a health point of view.
- 6. The noise insulation grantes: proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficiently protect for night noise. Measurements of noise inbedrooms of housing/ready insulated indicate that the noise levelexceed: therecommendation in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protecthuman health.
- In summary planning isan afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation order/sions of An Bord Pleanala. This application must be refused.

Your Sincerely.
VINCENTO - DONO GHAS

ser Vout i Prople Date 23-3-2024

ASSess ORCHAND HOUSE COMSTOWN KITSAIN CHAN CJ. DUBLIS